# RESIDUAL TENSILE PROPERTIES OF MATERIAL FROM C-130 CENTER WING SECTION AFTER SERVICE

by L. R. Kaisand

Final Report

Distributed under Contract No. NAS1-9485 by Lockheed-Georgia Company, Marietta, Georgia

for

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

# ABSTRACT

No adverse correlation was found between the residual tensile properties of 7075-T6 material and the associated number of flight hours of the C-130 aircraft from which the specimens were extracted.

### **OBJECTIVE:**

The purpose of this program was to evaluate the material tensile properties existing in C-130 aircraft center wing section structural boxes which were removed from C-130 aircraft and to correlate these properties with aircraft age and utilization.

## CONCLUSIONS:

The basic conclusion of this investigation was that the residual tensile properties of the C-130 center wing box material (7075-T6) were not affected by aircraft age and service life.

# BACKGROUND:

The Lockheed-Georgia Company is currently engaged in a major wing modification of the C-130 series B and E model aircraft operated by the United States Air Force. This modification consists primarily of replacing the center wing box section with an improved version. The availability of the center wing sections taken from service aircraft provided an unique opportunity to conduct tensile property tests on typical aircraft wing structure that have been in service for various lengths of time.

### TECHNICAL APPROACH:

Two test panels were cut from each of 11 C-130 lower surface wing box covers scrapped from the C-130 wing modification program. The particular locations of each panel are shown in Figure 1. The material in this area of the center wing box is 7075-T6. These areas for obtaining the test panels were selected

because they are highly stressed during service. Other locations would have been more desirable such as areas immediately adjacent to the cutouts centered on W.S. 120.5. However, it would have been impossible to get the desired specimen coupons from these areas due to the large amount of supporting structure attached.

Three tensile test coupons were cut from each of the test panels from the locations shown in Figure 2. One specimen was a standard unnotched tensile specimen machined to the SRL-127-3 configuration. The second tensile specimen was hole notched using the existing service holes as the notches and machined to the configuration shown in Figure 3. The third tensile specimen was the same configuration (Figure 4) as the second tensile specimen except the hole-notches were newly drilled non-service holes. All specimens were from the longitudinal grain direction and were identified for the wing box (i.e. C-130 aircraft) from which they were cut.

All the specimens were tested on suitable tensile test machines. For the unnotched specimens  $F_{tu}$  and  $F_{ty}$  values were recorded. This data is presented in Table I along with the associated number of flight hours and aircraft age for each test value. For the hole notched, service and non-service, specimens  $F_{ntu}$  values were recorded and are presented in Tables II and III along with the same service information listed in Table I.

# DISCUSSION:

The residual unnotched tensile properties of the C-130 lower wing box covers were not affected by the number of flight hours to which they were subjected.

This fact is illustrated by Figure 5 which is a plot of the tensile data shown in Table I and is presented as a function of service flight hours. If indeed service flight time had had an effect on the tensile properties, the data in Figure 5 would have a downward slope to the right. However, examination of Figure 5 clearly shows this is not the case and any deviation is normal scatter associated with test data.

A statistical analysis of the tensile data presented in Table I was conducted and compared to the Mil-Handbook-5 A & B values for 7075-T6 plate material. This comparison is presented in Table IV and shows the average residual tensile strengths are higher than the Mil-Handbook-5 values for 7075-T6 plate material.

The purpose of testing the bole notched tensile specimens was to determine if any change in the stress concentration factor of the rivet holes had occurred due to service. If the stress concentration factor had changed the notched tensile strength values for the service hole notched specimens would be significantly different than the non-service hole notched specimens and it could be shown statistically that the two sets of data do not belong to the same population. A statistical check on the two data samples, service hole notched tensile strengths versus the non-service hole notched tensile strengths, showed that both data samples belong to the same population.

Figure 6 is a plot of notched tensile strengths for the service and non-service hole specimens as function of aircraft flight hours. This graphical presentation of the data tends to substantiate the statistical conclusion that there was no change in the stress concentration factor of the rivet holes due to aircraft service time.






FIGURE 1 PANEL LOCATIONS FROM C-130 CENTER WING BOX



SPECIMEN BLANK LOCATIONS ON C-130 CENTER WING BOX PANELS FIGURE 2



SPECIMEN CONFIGURATION FOR SERVICE HOLE NOTCHED TESTS FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4 NON SERVICE HOLE NOTCHED SPECIMEN CONFIGURATION




FIGURE 5 STATIC TENSILE PROPERTIES OF C-130 CENTER WING BOXES




FIGURE 6 NOTCHED TENSILE STRENGTH OF RIVET HOLE NOTCHED SPECIMENS

TABLE I

EFFECT OF FLIGHT HOURS

ON THE TENSILE PROPERTIES (K<sub>t</sub> = 1) OF C-130 CENTER

WING BOX COUPONS (7075-T6)

| SPEC.<br>NO.      | TOTAL FLIGHT<br>HOURS | F <sub>tu</sub> psi | F <sub>ty</sub> psi       | ELONG. % |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| 63-7867<br>L<br>R | 4223                  | 82,700<br>81,600    | 76,900<br>77,100          | 12<br>12 |
| 61-962<br>L<br>R  | 4769                  | 85,000<br>84,300    | 79,500<br>78,600          | 12<br>12 |
| 63-7781<br>L<br>R | 4804                  | 84,100<br>82,700    | 78,600<br>79,200          | 12<br>11 |
| 64-512<br>L<br>R  | 5176                  | 84,000<br>84,300    | 79,500<br>79,600          | 12<br>12 |
| 61-971<br>L<br>R  | 5350                  | 83,600<br>84,300    | 79,100<br>79,200          | 11<br>13 |
| 62-1841<br>L<br>R | 6520                  | 83,300<br>82,400    | 79,200<br>79,400          | 11<br>11 |
| 62-1863<br>L<br>R | 6521                  | 83,800<br>85,900    | 79,100<br>84,300          | 11<br>13 |
| 63-7835<br>L<br>R | 6995                  | 85,200<br>85,300    | 80,400<br>79,300          | 12<br>12 |
| 62-3492<br>L<br>R | 7726                  | 83,700<br>84,200    | 79,100<br>80,000          | 11<br>12 |
| 63-7837<br>L<br>R | 9538                  | 84,200<br>85,700    | 78,600<br>80,900          | 11<br>13 |
| 63-7846<br>L<br>R | 9683                  | 83,600<br>82,400    | 79 <b>,2</b> 00<br>78,300 | 12<br>12 |

TABLE II

EFFECTS OF FLIGHT HOURS ON THE TENSILE
PROPERTIES OF SERVICE HOLE NOTCHED C-130
CENTER WING BOX SPECIMENS

| SPECIMEN<br>NO. | TOTAL FLIGHT<br>HOURS | rntu<br>(psi)    |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 63-7867-L<br>-R | 4223                  | 81,100<br>82,200 |
| 61-962-L<br>-R  | 4769                  | 82,100<br>82,200 |
| 63-7781-L<br>-R | 4804                  | 83,200<br>84,100 |
| 64-512-L<br>-R  | 51 <b>7</b> 6         | 84,500<br>84,700 |
| 61-971-L<br>-R  | 5350                  | 83,200<br>86,200 |
| 62-1841-L<br>-R | 6520                  | 81,500<br>82,900 |
| 62-1863-L<br>-R | 6521                  | 82,900<br>82,900 |
| 63-7835-L<br>-R | 6995                  | 84,200<br>84,500 |
| 62-3492-L<br>-R | <b>772</b> 6          | 82,300<br>83,300 |
| 63-7837-L<br>R  | 9538                  | 83,100<br>80,600 |
| 63-7846-L<br>-R | 9683                  | 83,900<br>84,300 |

TABLE III

EFFECT OF FLIGHT HOURS ON THE TENSILE
PROPERTIES OF NON-SERVICE HOLE NOTCHED C-130 CENTER
WING BOX SPECIMENS

| SPECIMEN<br>NO. | TOTAL FLIGHT<br>HOURS | Fntu<br>(psi)    |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 63-7867-L<br>-R | 4223                  | 81,500<br>81,200 |
| 61-962-L<br>-R  | 4769                  | 83,200<br>82,300 |
| 63-7781-L<br>-R | 4804                  | 82,700<br>83,700 |
| 64-512-L<br>-R  | 5176                  | 83,100<br>84,500 |
| 61-971-L<br>-R  | 5350                  | 82,100<br>82,300 |
| 62-1841-L<br>-R | 6520                  | 81,800<br>82,500 |
| 62-1863-L<br>-R | 6521                  | 83,400<br>83,200 |
| 63-7835-L<br>-R | 6995                  | 84,500<br>84,100 |
| 62-3492-L<br>-R | 7726                  | 82,500<br>82,000 |
| 63-7837-L<br>-R | 9538                  | 82,900<br>83,800 |
| 63-7846-L<br>-R | 9683                  | 83,900<br>84,000 |

TABLE IV

COMPARISON OF RESIDUAL TENSILE PROPERTIES
TO MIL-HDBK-5 FOR 7075-T6 PLATE MATERIAL

| MATERIAL                  | AVERAGE RESIDUAL   | STANDARD   | MIL-HDBK-5   |         |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------|
| PROPERTY                  | TENSILE PROPERTIES   | DEVIATION  | A-BASIS  | B-BASIS |
|                           | (psi)  | (psi)  | (psi)  | (iaq)   |
| COLO TRANSPORTATION COLOR | Charles in the party of the contract of the co | gas and the second seco | CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE |         |
| <sup>F</sup> tu           | 83,900   | 1100   | 76,000   | 78,000  |
| $^{	extsf{F}}$ ty         | 79,300   | 1400   | 68,000   | 70,000  |
| % E                       | 12   |  | 8  |         |